

**PJPS – PEER REVIEW FORM**

**Manuscript Title:** .....

**Referee's Name:** .....

**Date:** .....

**Content:**

Is the paper in one of the fields of interests journal?

- No
- Yes, IR
- Yes, Comparative politics
- Yes, political philosophy, theory, methodology

Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?

- Yes
- Important information is missing or superficially treated
- Certain parts are too in-depth

How would you describe the technical depth and methodology of paper?

- Superficial
- Suitable for the non-specialist
- Appropriate for the generally knowledgeable individual working in field
- Suitable Only for an Expert

How would you rate the novelty of paper?

- Novel
- Somewhat Novel
- Not Novel

**Structure:**

How would you rate the overall organization of paper?

- Satisfactory
- Could be improved
- Poor

Are the title and abstract satisfactory

- Yes
- No

Is the length of the paper appropriate?

- Yes
- No

Referee's remarks:

Is the paper ready to be published?

- Yes
- Yes, after minor amendments
- No